Distributed Systems (COMP9243)

Lecture 2: System Architecture & Communication
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① System Architectures
② Processes & Server Architecture
③ Communication in a Distributed System
④ Communication Abstractions

Building a Distributed System
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Two questions:
① Where to place the hardware?
② Where to place the software?

System Architecture:
➔ placement of machines
➔ placement of software on machines

Where to place?:
➔ processing capacity, load balancing
➔ communication capacity
➔ locality

Mapping of services to servers:
➔ Partitioning
➔ Replication
➔ Caching
Client-Server from another perspective:

Client

Wait for result

Server

Provide service

Time

How scalable is this?

Example client-server code in Erlang:

% Client code using the increment server
client (Server) ->
  Server ! {self (), 10},
  receive
    {From, Reply} -> io:format ("Result: ~w\n", [Reply])
  end.
% Server loop for increment server
loop () ->
  receive
    {From, Msg} -> From ! {self (), Msg + 1},
                   loop ()
    stop -> true
  end.
% Initiate the server
start_server() -> spawn (fun () -> loop () end).
Example client-server code in C:

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

// Client code

void client() {
    struct sockaddr_in cin;
    char buffer[bufsize];
    int sd;
    sd = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0);
    connect(sd, (void *)&cin, sizeof(cin));
    send(sd, buffer, strlen(buffer), 0);
    recv(sd, buffer, bufsize, 0);
    close(sd);
}

// Server code

void server() {
    struct sockaddr_in cin, sin;
    int sd, sd_client;
    sd = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, 0);
    bind(sd, (struct sockaddr *)&sin, sizeof(sin));
    listen(sd, queuesize);
    while (true) {
        sd_client = accept(sd, (struct sockaddr *)&cin, &addrlen);
        recv(sd_client, buffer, sizeof(buffer), 0);
        DoService(buffer);
        send(sd_client, buffer, strlen(buffer), 0);
        close(sd_client);
    }
    close(sd);
}
```

**Sliding Functionality:**

- User interface
- Application
- Database

**Vertical Distribution (Multi-tier):**

- User interface
- Application
- Database

**Three ‘layers’ of functionality:**

- User interface
- Processing/Application logic
- Data

→ Logically different components on different machines
Vertical Distribution from another perspective:

How scalable is this?

Horizontal Distribution

- Logically equivalent components replicated on different machines

How scalable is this?

Note: Scaling Up vs Scaling Out?
Horizontal and Vertical Distribution not the same as Horizontal and Vertical Scaling.

Vertical Scaling: Scaling UP Increasing the resources of a single machine

Horizontal Scaling: Scaling OUT Adding more machines.
Horizontal and Vertical Distribution are both examples of this.

Peer to Peer

- All processes have client and server roles: servant

Why is this special?
**Peer to Peer and Overlay Networks**

How do peers keep track of all other peers?
- static structure: you already know
- dynamic structure: Overlay Network
  1. structured
  2. unstructured

**Overlay Network:**
- Application-specific network
- Addressing
- Routing
- Specialised features (e.g., encryption, multicast, etc.)

**Example:**

**Unstructured Overlay**

(a) Random network
- Data stored at random nodes
- Partial view: node’s list of neighbours
- Exchange partial views with neighbours to update

What’s a problem with this?

**Structured Overlay**

Distributed Hash Table:
- Nodes have identifier and range, Data has identifier
- Node is responsible for data that falls in its range
- Search is routed to appropriate node
- Examples: Chord, Pastry, Kademlia

What’s a problem with this?
**HYBRID ARCHITECTURES**

Combination of architectures.

Examples:

- Superpeer networks
- Collaborative distributed systems
- Edge-server systems

---
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- Superpeer networks
- Collaborative distributed systems
- Edge-server systems

---
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**Superpeer Networks:**

- Regular peers are clients of superpeers
- Superpeers are servers for regular peers
- Superpeers are peers among themselves
- Superpeers may maintain large index, or act as brokers
- Example: Skype

---
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**Collaborative Distributed Systems:**

Example: BitTorrent

- Node downloads chunks of file from many other nodes
- Node provides downloaded chunks to other nodes
- Tracker keeps track of active nodes that have chunks of file
- Enforce collaboration by penalising selfish nodes

---
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**Edge-Server Networks:**

- Servers placed at the edge of the network
- Servers replicate content
- Mostly used for content and application distribution
- Content Distribution Networks: Akamai, CloudFront, CoralCDN

---

**What problems does BitTorrent face?**

---

**What are the challenges?**
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**Server Design**

- Dispatcher thread
- Request dispatched to a worker thread
- Worker thread
- Request coming in from the network
- Operating system

**Model** | **Characteristics**
--- | ---
Single-threaded process | No parallelism, blocking system calls
Threads | Parallelism, blocking system calls
Finite-state machine | Parallelism, non-blocking system calls

**Stateful vs Stateless Servers**

**Stateful:**
- Keeps persistent information about clients
- Improved performance
- Expensive crash recovery
- Must track clients

**Stateless:**
- Does not keep state of clients
- soft state design: limited client state
- Can change own state without informing clients
- No cleanup after crash
- Easy to replicate
- Increased communication
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**Note:** Session state vs. Permanent state
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**Virtualisation**

- Virtual Machine Monitor
- Guest OS
- Host OS
- Hardware
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**Clustered Servers**

- Logical switch (possibly multiple)
- Application/compute servers
- Distributed file/database system
- First tier
- Second tier
- Third tier

**Note:** Session state vs. Permanent state
**Request Switching**

Transport layer switch:

![Diagram of transport layer switch]

Logically a single TCP connection

- Client
  - Request
  - Switch
  - Request (handed off)
  - Server
  - Response

DNS-based:

- Round-robin DNS

Application layer switch:

- Analyse requests
- Forward to appropriate server

---

**Code Mobility**

Why move code?

- Optimise computation (load balancing)
- Optimise communication

Weak vs Strong Mobility:

- **Weak** transfer only code
- **Strong** transfer code and execution segment

Sender vs Receiver Initiated migration:

- **Sender** Send program to compute server
- **Receiver** Download applets

Examples: Java, JavaScript, Virtual Machines, Mobile Agents

What are the challenges of code mobility?

---

**Communication**

Why Communication?

Cooperating processes need to communicate.

- For synchronisation and control
- To share data
In a Non-Distributed System:
Two approaches to communication:

- **Shared memory**

---

In a Non-Distributed System:
Two approaches to communication:

- **Shared memory**
  - Direct memory access (Threads)
  - Mapped memory (Processes)

---
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Message Passing:

In a Non-Distributed System:
Two approaches to communication:
➢ Shared memory
  • Direct memory access (Threads)
  • Mapped memory (Processes)
➢ Message passing
  • OS’s IPC mechanisms

Previous slides assumed a uniprocessor or a multiprocessor.
In a distributed system (multicomputer) things change:

Shared Memory:
➢ There is no way to physically share memory

Message Passing:
➢ Over the network
➢ Introduces latencies
➢ Introduces higher chances of failure
➢ Heterogeneity introduces possible incompatibilities

Communication in a Distributed System:

Message Passing:
Basics:
➢ send()
➢ receive()

Variations:
➢ Connection oriented vs Connectionless
➢ Point-to-point vs Group
➢ Synchronous vs Asynchronous
➢ Buffered vs Unbuffered
➢ Reliable vs Unreliable
➢ Message ordering guarantees

Data Representation:
➢ Marshalling
➢ Endianness
C O U P L I N G

Dependency between sender and receiver

Temporal  do sender and receiver have to be active at the same time?

Spatial   do sender and receiver have to know about each other? explicitly address each other?

Semantic do sender and receiver have to share knowledge of content syntax and semantics?

Platform  do sender and receiver have to use the same platform?

Tight vs Loose coupling: yes vs no

S C O M M U N I C A T I O N

COMMUNICATION MODES

Data-Oriented vs Control-Oriented Communication:
Data-oriented communication
⇒ Facilitates data exchange between threads
⇒ Shared address space, shared memory & message passing

Control-oriented communication
⇒ Associates a transfer of control with communication
⇒ Active messages, remote procedure call (RPC) & remote method invocation (RMI)

Synchronous vs Asynchronous Communication:
Synchronous
⇒ Sender blocks until message received
  • Often sender blocked until message is processed and a reply received
⇒ Sender and receiver must be active at the same time
⇒ Receiver waits for requests, processes them (ASAP), and returns reply
⇒ Client-Server generally uses synchronous communication

Asynchronous
⇒ Sender continues execution after sending message (does not block waiting for reply)
⇒ Message may be queued if receiver not active
⇒ Message may be processed later at receiver’s convenience

When is Synchronous suitable? Asynchronous?

Transient vs Persistent Communication:
Transient
⇒ Message discarded if cannot be delivered to receiver immediately
⇒ Example: HTTP request

Persistent
⇒ Message stored (somewhere) until receiver can accept it
⇒ Example: email

Coupling? Time
Provider-Initiated vs Consumer-Initiated Communication:

Provider-Initiated
- Message sent when data is available
- Example: notifications

Consumer-Initiated
- Request sent for data
- Example: HTTP request

Direct-Addressing vs Indirect-Addressing Communication:

Direct-Addressing
- Message sent directly to receiver
- Example: HTTP request

Indirect-Addressing
- Message not sent to a particular receiver
- Example: broadcast, publish/subscribe

Coupling? Space

Combinations:

Persistent Asynchronous
Starts processing request

Persistent Synchronous
Request received

Transient Asynchronous
Message can be sent only if B is running

Transient Synchronous
Request Received

(Receipt Based)

Transient Synchronous
Request Received Accepted

(Response Based)

Examples?

Communication Abstractions

Abstractions above simple message passing make communication easier for the programmer.

Provided by higher level APIs
1. Message-Oriented Communication
2. Request-Reply, Remote Procedure Call (RPC) & Remote Method Invocation (RMI)
3. Group Communication
4. Event-based Communication
5. Shared Space
**MESSAGE-ORIENTED COMMUNICATION**

Communication models based on message passing

Traditional `send()`/`receive()` provides:
- Asynchronous and Synchronous communication
- Transient communication

What more does it provide than `send()`/`receive()`?
- Persistent communication (Message queues)
- Hides implementation details
- Marshalling

---

**Example: Message Passing Interface (MPI)**

- Designed for parallel applications
- Makes use of available underlying network
- Tailored to transient communication
- No persistent communication
- Primitives for all forms of transient communication
- Group communication

MPI is Big. Standard reference has over 100 functions and is over 350 pages long!

---

**Example: Message Queuing Systems**

Provides:
- Persistent communication
- Message Queues: store/forward
- Transfer of messages between queues

Model:
- Application-specific queues
- Messages addressed to specific queues
- Only guarantee delivery to queue. Not when.
- Message transfer can be in the order of minutes

Examples:
- IBM MQSeries, Java Message Service, Amazon SQS, Advanced Message Queuing Protocol, MGTT, STOMP

Very similar to email but more general purpose (i.e., enables communication between applications and not just people)
REQUEST-REPLY COMMUNICATION

Request:
- a service
- data

Reply:
- result of executing service
- data

Requirement:
- Message formatting
- Protocol

Example: Remote Procedure Call (RPC)

Idea: Replace I/O oriented message passing model by execution of a procedure call on a remote node (BN84):
- Synchronous - based on blocking messages
- Message-passing details hidden from application
- Procedure call parameters used to transmit data
- Client calls local “stub” which does messaging and marshalling

Confusion of local and remote operations can be dangerous. More on that later...

RPC Implementation:
1. client calls client stub (normal procedure call)
2. client stub packs parameters into message data structure
3. client stub performs send() syscall and blocks
4. kernel transfers message to remote kernel
5. remote kernel delivers to server stub, blocked in receive()
6. server stub unpacks message, calls server (normal proc call)
7. server returns to stub, which packs result into message
8. server stub performs send() syscall
9. kernel delivers to client stub, which unpacks and returns
Example client stub in Erlang:

```erlang
% Client code using RPC stub
client (Server) ->
    register(server, Server),
    Result = inc (10),
    io:format ("Result: ~w~n", [Result]).
```

% RPC stub for the increment server
call (Value) ->
sender ! {self (), inc, Value},
receive
    {From, inc, Reply} -> Reply
end.

Example server stub in Erlang:

```erlang
% increment implementation
inc (Value) -> Value + 1.
```

% RPC Server dispatch loop
sender () ->
    receive
        {From, inc, Value} ->
            From ! (self(), inc, inc (Value))
    end,
sender().

Parameter marshalling:

- stub must pack ("marshal") parameters into message structure
- message data must be pointer free
  (by-reference data must be passed by-value)
- may have to perform other conversions:
  - byte order (big endian vs little endian)
  - floating point format
  - dealing with pointers
  - convert everything to standard ("network") format, or
  - message indicates format, receiver converts if necessary
- stubs may be generated automatically from interface specs

Examples of RPC frameworks:

- SUN RPC (aka ONC RPC): Internet RFC1050 (V1), RFC1831 (V2)
  - Based on XDR data representation (RFC1014)(RFC1832)
  - Basis of standard distributed services, such as NFS and NIS
- Distributed Computing Environment (DCE) RPC
- XML (data representation) and HTTP (transport)
  - Text-based data stream is easier to debug
  - HTTP simplifies integration with web servers and works
    through firewalls
  - For example, XML-RPC (lightweight) and SOAP (more
    powerful, but often unnecessarily complex)
- Many More: Facebook Thrift, Google Protocol Buffers RPC,
  Microsoft .NET
Sun RPC - interface definition:

```
program DATE_PROG {
    version DATE_VERS {
        long BIN_DATE(void) = 1; /* proc num = 1 */
        string STR_DATE(long) = 2; /* proc num = 2 */
        } = 1; /* version = 1 */
    } = 0x31234567; /* prog num */
```

Sun RPC - client code:

```
#include <rpc/rpc.h> /* standard RPC include file */
#include "date.h" /* this file is generated by rpcgen */

main(int argc, char **argv) {
    CLIENT *cl; /* RPC handle */
    ...
    cl = clnt_create(argv[1], DATE_PROG, DATE_VERS, "udp");
    lresult = bin_date_1(NULL, ... = str_date_1(lresult, cl);
    printf("time on host %s = %s\n", server, *sresult);
    clnt_destroy(cl); /* done with the handle */
}
```

Sun RPC - server code:

```
#include <rpc/rpc.h> /* standard RPC include file */
#include "date.h" /* this file is generated by rpcgen */

long * bin_date_1() {
    static long timeval; /* must be static */
    long time(); /* Unix function */
    timeval = time((long *) 0);
    return(&timeval);
}
char ** str_date_1(long *bintime) {
    static char *ptr; /* must be static */
    char *ctime(); /* Unix function */
    ptr = ctime(bintime); /* convert to local time */
    return(&ptr); /* return the address of pointer */
}
```

**ASYNCHRONOUS RPC**

1. **(a)** When no reply is required
2. **(b)** When reply isn’t needed immediately (2 asynchronous RPCs - deferred synchronous RPC)
Remote Method Invocation (RMI)

The transition from Remote Procedure Call (RPC) to Remote Method Invocation (RMI) is a transition from the server metaphor to the object metaphor.

Why is this important?
- RPC: explicit handling of host identification to determine the destination
- RMI: addressed to a particular object
- Objects are first-class citizens
- Can pass object references as parameters
- More natural resource management and error handling
- But still, only a small evolutionary step

Transparency Can Be Dangerous

Why is the transparency provided by RPC and RMI dangerous?
- Remote operations can fail in different ways
- Remote operations can have arbitrary latency
- Remote operations have a different memory access model
- Remote operations can involve concurrency in subtle ways

What happens if this is ignored?
- Unreliable services and applications
- Limited scalability
- Bad performance

See “A note on distributed computing” (Waldo et al. 94)

Group-Based Communication

Two kinds of group communication:
- Broadcast (message sent to everyone)
- Multicast (message sent to specific group)

Used for:
- Replication of services
- Replication of data
- Service discovery
- Event notification

Issues:
- Reliability
- Ordering

Example:
- IP multicast
- Flooding
**Example: Gossip-Based Communication**

Technique that relies on epidemic behaviour, e.g. spreading diseases among people.

Variant: rumour spreading, or gossiping.

- When node $P$ receives data item $x$, it tries to push it to arbitrary node $Q$.
- If $x$ is new to $Q$, then $P$ keeps on spreading $x$ to other nodes.
- If node $Q$ already has $x$, $P$ stops spreading $x$ with certain probability.

Analogy from real life: Spreading rumours among people.

---

**Event-Based Communication**

- Communication through propagation of events
- Generally associated with publish/subscribe systems
- Sender process publishes events
- Receiver process subscribes to events and receives only the ones it is interested in.
- Loose coupling
- Example: OMG Data Distribution Service (DDS), JMS, Tibco

---

**Shared Space Communication**

**Example: Distributed Shared Memory:**

![Shared global address space diagram](image)

- Component
- Event delivery
- Publish
- Event bus

---

**Example: Tuple Space:**

![Tuple instance diagram](image)

- A JavaSpace
- Insert a copy of A
- Insert a copy of B
- Look for tuple that matches T
- Return C (and optionally remove it)
Reading List

Slide 73 Implementing Remote Procedure Calls  A classic paper about the design and implementation of one of the first RPC systems.

Homework

RPC:

- Do Exercise Client server exercise (Erlang) Part B

Synchronous vs Asynchronous:

- Explain how you can implement synchronous communication using only asynchronous communication primitives.
- How about the opposite?

Hacker’s Edition: Client-Server vs Ring:

- Do Exercise Client-Server vs. Ring (Erlang)